Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/871,467	CHEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	1714
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee.	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Barns</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>18 December 2003</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	•]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: pending claims.		
Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊡ was not reached. h)⊠ N	/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>discussed was the important informed the applicants about the new reference reciting acresult the office action will be non-final. The attorney also in</u>	ance of the surfactant in the call dition of mineral oil which will	omposition. The examiner be applied and that as a
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	eed would render the claims ould render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse signal.	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	been filed, APPLICANT IS SINTERVIEW SUMMARY
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	ture, if required